1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JAMES INGRAM,	No. C 09-2824 MHP (pr)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF TRANSFER
10	v.	
11	C/O SAAVEDRA,	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	James Ingram, filed this <u>pro</u> se civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983,	
15	complaining of acts and omissions at the California Reception Center in Norco and post	
16	the California Institution for Men in Chino, California. Norco is in Riverside County and	
17	Chino is in San Bernardino County, both of which are within the venue of the Central	
18	District of Colifornia Defendant is applicated at the facility in News and apparently re-	

complaining of acts and omissions at the California Reception Center in Norco and possibly the California Institution for Men in Chino, California. Norco is in Riverside County and Chino is in San Bernardino County, both of which are within the venue of the Central District of California. Defendant is employed at the facility in Norco and apparently resides in the Central District of California. Venue therefore properly lies in that district and not in this one. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Accordingly, in the interest of justice and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a), this action is TRANSFERRED to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The clerk shall transfer this matter.

United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 11, 2009

2526

19

20

21

22

23

27

28